UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,004	02/17/2004	Michael T. Rowan	68865.001005 9566	
	7590 05/21/200 /ILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			KIM, PAUL	
SUITE 1200	900 K STREET, N.W. JUITE 1200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## DOTRICE Action Summary    The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply   A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   Extension of time rus by availation during proposons of 30 FCR 113(8). In 90 over the toward, and apply the time of the communication and the property of the 15 over the property of the 15 over the property of the 15 over the 15 ov		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
PAUL KIM  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER. FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER. FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the measurem statutory period set application to the 1995 with the set or science of the formation of the period of the second communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the measurem statutory period set application to the Additional SUBJECT Status.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the measurem statutory period set application from the realized date of the communication.  - Failure to reply what has set or science period for period the communication.  - Failure to reply what has set or science period period for specified above.  - Failure to reply what has set or science period period for specification.  - Failure to reply specified above, the measurement of the communication.  - Failure to reply what has set or science period period for specification is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims    - A   Claim(s) 26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are period in the application.  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  - 5   Claim(s) 26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are period perio		10/780,004	ROWAN ET AL.					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER. FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of the imapy's a calabia under the provisions of 2 CFR 1 136th, in to overal, thosewin, they are under the imagine of the communication of the provision of 2 CFR 1 136th, in the overal, thosewin, they are under the imagine date of this communication.  If I/O period for regly is apported above, the mainton shallouse, period will apply and will expire the period will be provided by the period of the communication.  If I/O period for regly is apported above, the mainton date of the communication.  If I/O period for regly is apported above, the mainton date of the communication.  Feature to region will be a communication of the communication of the communication.  Feature to region will be a communication of the communication of the communication.  Status  Status  Status  Status  1) \(\text{Status}\) Second in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 \(\text{Status}\) Claim(s) \(\text{Status}\) Secondary (and the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 \(\text{Status}\) Claim(s) \(\text{Status}\) is/are allowed.  4 \(\text{Status}\) Claim(s) \(\text{Status}\) is/are allowed.  5 \(\text{Status}\) Claim(s) \(\text{Status}\) is/are allowed.  6 \(\text{Status}\) Claim(s) \(\text{Status}\) is/are allowed.  6 \(\text{Status}\) Claim(s) \(\text{Status}\) is/are objected to.  9 \(\text{Claim(s)}\) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  7 \(\text{Claim(s)}\) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10 \(\text{The drawing(s)}\) filed on \(\text{Is/apic.}\) is/are	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Exhibitors of time rary to available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.30(a). In or worth towerun, may a roby be timely filed  - Exhibitor of rays is specified above, the maximum shallow provide may be under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.30(a). In or worth towerun, may a roby be timely filed  - Faller be regly within the set or obserted prince for raph will by attention, cause the application to become MAINCONED, 035 U.S.C. § 133).  - Faller be regly within the set or obserted prince for raph will by attention, cause the application to become MAINCONED, 035 U.S.C. § 133).  - Faller be regly within the set of communication (s) filed on 0.5 March 2008.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication (s) filed on 0.5 March 2008.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication (s) filed on 0.5 March 2008.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication (s) filed on 0.5 March 2008.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication (s) filed on 0.5 March 2008.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the set of communication.  - Faller be regly within the		PAUL KIM	2161					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elements of the may be available under the provised with any object of the communication.  Elements of the map to a validate under the provised of the communication.  Failure for grey which the set or clearled period for eye, with by station, cause the application to become ANALOSHIP, did use of this communication.  Failure for grey which the set or clearled period for eye, with by station, cause the application to become ANALOSHIP, did U.S.C. § 133.  Any roply rocered by the Critical burn than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if smoly filed, may reduce any sense patrot team displanted. Set of CFR 1.70(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2008.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b □ This action is finAL.  3 □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4□ Claim(s) 26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are pending in the application.  4□ Old the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5□ □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9□ □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9□ □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9□ □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9□ □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12□ □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or (f).  a) □ All b □ Some 'c) □ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certifi								
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2008.  2a   This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   A   Claim(s) 26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) 26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  4   Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b   Some * c)  None of:  1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s)  26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s)  26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s)  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:	Status							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s)  26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s)  26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s)  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	arch 2008						
3								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-893)  5)   Notice of Informal Patent Application	<i>7</i> <b>—</b>	,						
4) Claim(s) 26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)	· · ·	<del></del>						
4) Claim(s) 26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)	· ·							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:   1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5)    Notice of Informal Patent Application	•							
6) Claim(s) 26-46.48-54 and 56-73 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a) All b) Some * c) None of:         1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	_							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08)								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · — · ·	s alastian requirement						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonic None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
	atent Application							

Art Unit: 2161

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is responsive to the following communication: Amendment filed on 5 March 2008.

2. Claims 26-46, 48-54, and 56-73 are pending and present for examination.

### Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5 March 2008 has been entered.

### Response to Amendment

- 4. Claims 26, 54, 63, 64, 69, and 73 have been amended.
- 5. No claims have been cancelled.
- 6. No claims have been added.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. **Claims 26-29, 32, 38-47, 54-61, 63-65, 69, 71, and 73** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al (USPGPUB 2003/0167380, hereinafter referred to as GREEN),

Art Unit: 2161

filed on 22 January 2003, and published on 4 September 2003, in view of Kedem et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,598,131, hereinafter referred to as KEDEM), filed on 11 October 2002, and issued on 22 July 2003.

9. **As per independent claims 26, 54, 63, 64, 69, and 73**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

A method for providing data, the method comprising the steps of:

backing up an original data store by <u>receiving</u> all write commands <u>for</u> the original data store during a time interval {See KEDEM, C3:L63-C4:L4, wherein this reads over "the LDIM functions to intercept and process requests that are intended to be received by the LPSD" and "[c]ommon are read/write requests specifying an address"}, thereby accumulating backup data that enable restoration of the original data store to any point in time during 11 October 2002, and issued on 22 July 200356the time interval<sup>1</sup>;

receiving a request {See GREEN, Para. 0147, wherein this reads over "a restore command is received"} to create a virtual data store that reflects a state of an original data store at a specified time, the specified time selected from a substantially continuous time interval {See GREEN, Para. 0148, wherein this reads over "the user decides to restore the system to the state in which it existed at 12:11 PM"};

receiving a storage protocol request for data at a specified address in the virtual data store {See GREEN, Para. 0148, wherein this reads over 'volumes E and F"}; and

transmitting data stored in the original data store at the specified address at the specified time in response to the storage protocol request {See GREEN, Figures 42 and 43; and Para. 0148, wherein this reads over "Fig. 42 illustrates the state of the system prior to the restore and Fig. 43 illustrates the state of the system following the restore"}.

While GREEN may fail to expressly disclose the method step of backing up an original data store by receiving all write commands for the original data store during a time interval, KEDEM discloses a data image management system wherein read/write requests are intercepted. Therefore, in light of the aforementioned disclosures by GREEN and KEDEM, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the teim the invention was made to modify the above invention suggested by GREEN by combining it with the invention as disclosed by KEDEM. The results of this combination would lead to a method wherein write commands are received and used to create a virtual data store.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Examiner notes that the claim limitation "that enable restoration of the original data store to any point in time during the time interval" constitutes an intended use as it is only enabling and fails to positively recite an active method step. Accordingly, said claim limitation will not be provided patentable weight for the purposes of this Office Action.

Art Unit: 2161

Additionally, it would be inherent to the claimed invention to select a specified time from a continuous time interval wherein the claimed method for providing data requires that the request to create a virtual data store reflect a state of an original data store at a specified time.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification such that the write requests may be intercepted for use in the creation of a data store.

10. **As per dependent claims 27, 57, and 58**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 26 wherein the original data store comprises another virtual data store {See GREEN, Para. 0008, wherein this reads over "[t]he object of the snapshot for which the image is provided may be of a . . . logical partition"}.

11. **As per dependent claims 28, 40, 41, and 59,** GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 27 wherein the original data store comprises a current store and a time store {See GREEN, Para. 0146, wherein this reads over "restore functionality that allows restoration of a volume to any state recorded in a snapshot while retaining all snapshots"}.

12. **As per dependent claims 29 and 60**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 26 wherein the virtual data store comprises a logical unit {See GREEN, Para. 0008, wherein this reads over "[t]he object of the snapshot for which the image is provided may be of a . . . logical partition"}.

13. **As per dependent claim 32**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 26 wherein the request to create the virtual data store is received via a user interface {See GREEN, Figure 14; and Para. 0122, wherein this reads over "allows the user to schedule a new snapshot"}.

14. **As per dependent claim 38, 39, and 61**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 54, further comprising, before the generating step, the step of receiving a request to create the virtual data store {See GREEN, Para. 0126, wherein this reads over "the user is able to request that a recovery disk be created"}.

15. **As per dependent claims 42 and 71**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 26 wherein the original data store comprises at least one terabyte of data {See GREEN, Figure 44; and Para. 0006, wherein this reads over "If a terabyte of data is to be backed up, then a terabyte of storage capacity is required."}.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,004

Art Unit: 2161

# 16. **As per dependent claim 43**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 42 wherein the original data store comprises multiple physical storage devices {See GREEN, Figure 44; and Para. 0046, wherein this reads over "[t]he computer m ay operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers" and "[s]uch networking environments are commonplace in . . . intranets and the Internet"}.

## 17. **As per dependent claim 44**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 43 wherein the multiple physical storage devices comprise at least ten physical storage devices {See GREEN, Figure 44; and Para. 0046, wherein this reads over "[t]he computer m ay operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers" and "[s]uch networking environments are commonplace in . . . intranets and the Internet"}.

### 18. **As per dependent claim 45**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 44 wherein the multiple physical storage devices comprise at least 100 physical storage devices {See GREEN, Figure 44; and Para. 0046, wherein this reads over "[t]he computer m ay operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers" and "[s]uch networking environments are commonplace in . . . intranets and the Internet"}.

# 19. **As per dependent claim 46**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 26 wherein the virtual data store comprises a read only data store {See GREEN, Para. 0160, wherein this reads over "the snapshots maintained by the firmware are read only"}.

### 20. **As per dependent claims 47 and 55**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 54 wherein the specified time is selected from a substantially continuous time interval {See GREEN, Para. 0062, wherein this reads over "the first snapshot cache was being dynamically created between times 5 and 10 and actually changed from time 8 to time 9; and Para. 0148, wherein this reads over "the user decides to restore the system to the state in which it existed at 12:11 PM"}.

# 21. **As per dependent claim 56**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The method of claim 55, further comprising the step of copying the virtual data store to another data store {See GREEN, Para. 0066, wherein this reads over "Data 'E' is written to this address at time 4, replacing data 'B'"}.

### 22. **As per dependent claim 65**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM, discloses:

The system of claim 64, further comprising a storage protocol write request {See GREEN Para. 0057, wherein this reads over "[t]he letters (E, F, G, H, I, J, K, and L), shown within this grid, represent specific data for which a command to write such specific data to the volume at the corresponding address and at a specific time point has been received"}.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2161

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

23. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 24. **Claims 30-31, 33-37, 48-53, 62, 66-68, 70, and 72** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GREEN, in view of KEDEM, and in further view of Official Notice.
- 25. **As per dependent claims 30, 51, and 66**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM and Official Notice, would disclose a method wherein both the request to create the virtual data store and the storage protocol request are received in a single protocol request packet. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created to transmit both the request to create the virtual data store (e.g. a new logical unit) and the storage protocol request in a single protocol request packet. One of ordinary skill in the art would acknowledge that a data packet may commonly include other information such as read and write commands.
- As per dependent claim 31, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM and Official Notice, would disclose a method wherein the request to create the virtual data store is received in a different data packet than the storage protocol request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created to separately transmit either of the request to create the virtual data store (e.g. a new logical unit) and the storage protocol request in a single protocol request packet. One of ordinary skill in the art would acknowledge that a data packet may commonly include only one command, such as a request to create a virtual data store or a storage protocol request.
- 27. **As per dependent claim 33**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM and Official Notice, would disclose a method wherein the request to create the virtual data store is received via the storage protocol request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created to receive the request to create the virtual data store via a standard storage protocol request.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,004

Art Unit: 2161

28. **As per dependent claim 34**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM and Official Notice, would disclose a method wherein the storage protocol request comprises a standard read request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created to have a storage protocol request comprise a standard read request. One of ordinary skill in the art would acknowledge that a standard read requests are used in storage protocol requests.

Page 7

- 29. **As per dependent claim 35, 52, and 67**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM and Official Notice, would disclose a method wherein the storage protocol request comprises a SCSI read request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skll in the art at the time the invention was created to have a storage protocol request comprise a SCSI read request. SCSI is commonly known in the field of the claimed invention to provide a standard high-speed parallel interface. Hence, the use of a SCSI read request for a storage protocol request would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created.
- 30. **As per dependent claims 36, 37, 53, and 68**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM and Official Notice, would disclose a method wherein the storage protocol request comprises a Fibre Channel protocol request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art at the time the invention was created to have a storage protocol request comprise a Fibre Channel protocol request. Fibre Channel protocols are commonly known in the field of the claimed invention to provide a standardized active intelligent interconnection scheme, called a Fabric, to connect devices. Hence, the use of a Fibre Channel protocol request for a storage protocol request would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created.
- 31. **As per dependent claims 48 and 70**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM and Official Notice, would disclose a method wherein the data is transmitted substantially instantaneously in response to the storage protocol request. Data is commonly transmitted instantaneously in response to a storage request. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created to have data transmitted instantaneously.

Art Unit: 2161

32. **As per dependent claims 49 and 72** GREEN, in combination with KEDEM and Official Notice, would disclose a method wherein the data is transmitted in less than 1 millisecond. Transmissions of data commonly occur in less than 1 millisecond. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created to have data transmitted within a millisecond.

- 33. **As per dependent claim 50**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM and Official Notice, would disclose a a method wherein the step of writing data to the virtual data store occurs in response to a storage protocol write request. Any process wherein data is written to the virtual data store impliedly requires some write request. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created to have data written to a virtual data store in response to a storage protocol write request.
- 34. **As per dependent claim 62**, GREEN, in combination with KEDEM and Official Notice, would disclose a method wherein the virtual data store is generated within one second of the request to create the virtual data store. It is commonly known to one of ordinary skill in the art that where a request is submitted, generation of a virtual data store may occur within one second.

## Response to Arguments

35. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim rejections under 35 U.SC. 103(a) have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL KIM whose telephone number is (571)272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu

Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or

access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Kim Examiner, Art Unit 2161 TECH Center 2100

/pk/

/Apu M Mofiz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161